arXiv:1411.7229v1 [math.AG] 26 Nov 2014

SINGULARITIES AND KODAIRA DIMENSION OF MODULI SCHEME OF STABLE SHEAVES ON ENRIQUES SURFACES

KIMIKO YAMADA

Abstract. Let M be a moduli scheme of stable sheaves with fixed Chern classes on an Enriques surface or a hyper-elliptic surface. If its expected dimension is 7 or more, then M admits only canonical singularities. Moreover, if M is compact, then its Kodaira dimension is zero.

1. Introduction

Let X be a non-singular projective minimal surface over $\mathbb{C}$, and H an ample line bundle on X. There is a coarse moduli scheme $M(H)$ (resp. $\bar{M}(H)$) of H-stable (resp. H-semistable) sheaves with fixed Chern classes $(r, c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathrm{NS}(X) \times \mathbb{Z}$, where we assume $r > 1$. Gieseker and Maruyama ([4], [12]) proved that $\bar{M}(H)$ is projective over $\mathbb{C}$, and $M(H)$ is its open subscheme. Suppose that X is a minimal surface with $\kappa(X) = 0$. Then K_X is numerically equivalent to 0, and there is a covering map $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$, where $\tilde{X}$ is a non-singular projective surface with $K_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$. Let d be the degree of π. The main result of this article is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a minimal surface with $\kappa(X) = 0$. Suppose that*

$$2rc_2 - (r-1)c_1^2 - r^2\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 3d, \quad \textit{that is,}$$
$$\operatorname{expdim} M(H) = \operatorname{ext}^1(E,E) - \operatorname{ext}^2(E,E)^\circ \geq 3d + 1 + p_g(X).$$

Then the moduli scheme $M(H)$ of H-stable sheaves with Chern classes (r, c_1, c_2) is l.c.i., normal, 1-Gorenstein, and admits only canonical singularities.

Corollary 1.2. *If the hypothesis in the theorem above holds and, in addition, the greatest common divisor of r, $c_1 \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ and $c_1^2/2 - c_2$ equals 1, then $M(H)$ is known to be projective by* [12, Thm. 6.11]*, and its Kodaira dimension is zero.*

See Definition 2.2 for definition of terms, *canonical singularities* and *Kodaira dimension*. The new point of this article is that we consider stable sheaves on Enriques surfaces, and observe how good singularities of its moduli schemes are. When X is K3, Abelian, or Fano, $M(H)$ is non-singular and its Kodaira dimension has been studied ([12, Prop. 6.9.], [13], [17]). However, $M(H)$ has singularities in general; how good they are? Thus far, the works on formality are known on this problem. Goldman-Millson [5] discussed deformation of flat bundles; remark that its first and second Chern classes are zero. The author does not know whether such a result is valid also for general stable (or Hermite-Einstein) bundles or not. Formality discusses the effect of degree-two part of defining ideal on the moduli space; we would rather estimate the degree-two part itself. As to moduli of stable sheaves on an

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J60; Secondary 14B05, 14D20, 14Exx.
This work was supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B), JSPS, No. 23740037.

Enriques surface, Kim dealt it in [9], and obtained a finite birational map from $M(H)$ to a Lagrangian subvariety of the moduli space of sheaves on K3 surfaces. The singularities of $M(H)$ were not considered.

Acknowledgment . The author would like to express sincere gratitude to Prof. M. Inaba, Prof. D. Matsushita, Prof. S. Mukai, and Prof. Y. Namikawa for their invaluable suggestions and comments. Deep appreciation also goes to Prof. O. Fujino and Prof. S. Mori for explaining the importance of singularities to the author.

Notation . All schemes are of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$, but it seems that the greater part of this article holds also for algebraically closed field k with $(r, \mathrm{char}(k)) = 1$. For sheaves E and F on a projective scheme over $\mathbb{C}$, $\hom(E, F)$ and $\mathrm{ext}^i(E, F)$ mean $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(E, F)$ and $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^i(E, F)$ respectively. For homomorphisms $f : F \to G$ and $g : G' \to E$, f^* and f_* mean natural pull-back and push-forward homomorphisms of Exts, respectively. For a line bundle L, $\mathrm{Ext}^i(E, E \otimes L)^\circ$ denotes the kernel of trace map $\mathrm{Ext}^i(E, E \otimes L) \to H^i(L)$.

2. Proof of Theorem

Let X be a non-singular complex projective surface with arbitrary Kodaira dimension. Let us begin with recalling the definition of some terms.

Definition 2.1. For a nonzero torsion-free sheaf F, we denote $\chi(F(nH))/r(F)$ by $P(F(n))$. A coherent sheaf E on X is *stable* if E is torsion free and for every proper coherent subsheaf F of E we have that

$$P(F(n)) < P(E(n)) \qquad (n \gg 0).$$

Definition 2.2. (1) Given any variety V_0, define its *Kodaira dimension* $\kappa(V_0)$ to be $\max\{\dim \Phi_{mK_{\tilde{V}}} \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $\tilde{V}$ is a desingularization of a completion of V_0. Kodaira dimension is birational invariant.
(2) A normal variety V is said to admit only *canonical singularities* when (i) K_V is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, and (ii) if $\phi : \tilde{V} \to V$ is a desingularization with except divisors E_i, then

$$K_{\tilde{V}} = \phi^* K_V + \textstyle\sum_i a_i E_i \qquad (a_i \geq 0).$$

When V does so and V is complete, $\kappa(V)$ equals $\max\{\dim \Phi_{mK_{\tilde{V}}} \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$, so we need not consider its desingularization $\tilde{V}$ in calculating $\kappa(V)$.

Fact 2.3 ([7], Cor. 1.7, [16],[15])**.** *Let $(X, x) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ be a hypersurface singularity defined by $t_0^{a_0} + t_1^{a_1} + \cdots + t_n^{a_n}$. This singularity is canonical if and only if $\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{a_i} > 1$.*

As to singularities of $M(H)$, we shall use the following fact in Kuranishi theory:

Fact 2.4. [11] *Let E be a stable sheaf on arbitrary non-singular surface. Denote $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(E, E) = d + b$ and $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^2(E, E)^\circ = b$, and let $f_1, \ldots, f_b$ be a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(E, E(K_X))^\circ$. Then the local ring R of $M(H)$ at E is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[[t_1, \ldots, t_{d+b}]]/(F_1, \ldots, F_b)$, where F_i is a power series starting with degree-two term, which comes from*

$$F_f : \mathrm{Ext}^1(E, E) \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^1(E, E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^2(E, E) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \tag{1}$$

defined by $F_f(\alpha \otimes \beta) = \mathrm{tr}(f \circ \alpha \circ \beta + f \circ \beta \circ \alpha)$*, and its dual map*

$$F_f^\vee : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(E,E)^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Ext}^1(E,E)^\vee \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathrm{Ext}^1(E,E)^\vee), \tag{2}$$

where f *equals* f_i*.*

From now on, we shall suppose that K_X is numerically equivalent to zero, and let E be a stable sheaf on X. If $M(H)$ is singular at E, then $\mathrm{ext}^2(E,E) = \hom(E, E(K_X)) = 1$ since stability of E implies that $\hom(E, E(K_X)) \leq 1$ in this case, and so $\mathrm{Hom}(E, E(K_X))$ is spanned by one element, say f.

Lemma 2.5. *Let us choose coordinates* t_i *at Fact 2.4 so that* $F_f^\vee = t_1^2 + \cdots + t_N^2$ *with some* $0 \leq N \leq d+b$*. If the codimension of*

$$\mathrm{Sing}(M(H)) := \{[E] \,\big|\, \mathrm{ext}^2(E,E)^\circ \neq 0\}$$

in $M(H)$ *is more than one and* $N \geq 3$*, then the singularity of* $M(H)$ *at* E *is canonical.*

Proof. The assumption implies that $F_f^\vee \neq 0$, and thus $M(H)$ is l.c.i. by Fact 2.4. Since $M(H)$ is smooth in codimension one, $M(H)$ is normal and 1-Gorenstein. In order to determine whether the singularity of l.c.i. ring R at $x \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is canonical or not, it is sufficient to look at its completion at x. This is because the singularities of R are canonical if and only if they are rational singularities([8, Cor. 5.2.15]), and a variety V has only rational singularities if and only if V^{an} does so ([10, Cor. 5.11]). Since rationality of singularities are stable under small deformation ([8, Sect. 8]), this lemma follows Fact 2.3. □

As to the covering $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X$ of X, we have a free-action of a finite group G with $|G| = d$ on $\tilde{X}$ such that π equals to the quotient map by G. It holds that $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = \oplus_{i=0}^{d-1} K_X^{-i}$.

Lemma 2.6. *If* E *is a* H*-stable sheaf on* X*, then* $\pi^*(E)$ *is* π^*H*-semistable.*

Proof. Otherwise, π^*E has a non-trivial Harder-Narashimhan filtration (HNF) with respect to π^*H. Let F be the first part of HNF. By the uniqueness of HNF, F has a natural structure of G-subsheaf of $\pi^*(E)$, and so F descends to a subsheaf F_0 of E. This F_0 breaks the stability of E, since K_X is numerically equivalent to 0. □

Lemma 2.7. *The natural map* $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(E,E) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\tilde{X}}(\pi^*(E), \pi^*(E))$ *induces the isomorphism* $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E,E) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi^*(E), \pi^*(E))^G$*.*

Proof. Let $E_\bullet \to E$ be a locally-free resolution of E.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi^*(E), \pi^*(E)) &= H^1(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\tilde{X}}(\pi^*(E_\bullet^\vee \otimes E_\bullet))) \\
&= H^1(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_X \mathbf{R}\pi_*(\pi^*(E_\bullet^\vee \otimes E_\bullet))) = H^1(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_X(E_\bullet^\vee \otimes E_\bullet \otimes \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})) \\
&= \oplus_{i=0}^{d-1} H^1(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_X(E_\bullet^\vee \otimes E_\bullet \otimes K_X^{-i})) = \oplus_{i=0}^{d-1} \mathrm{Ext}^1(E, E \otimes K_X^{-i}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})^G = \mathcal{O}_X$, this lemma holds. □

Now, let $f : E \to E(K_X)$ be a nonzero traceless homomorphism. Since K_X is numerically equivalent to zero and E is stable, this f is isomorphism and so $\det(f) \neq 0$. Fix an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \simeq \pi^*(K_X)$, and let $t \in \Gamma(\pi^*(K_X))$ be the

image of 1 by this. When we denote the eigenpolynomial of π^*f by $P_{\pi^*f}(t)$, we can decompose it into eigenvalues

$$P_{\pi^*f}(t) = \prod_i (t - a_i)^{n_i},$$

where a_i are elements in $H^0(K_{\tilde{X}})$ which differ from each other, from the fact that $K_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$. Let us fix a_1, and pick any $g \in G$. Then $\det(f) \neq 0$ implies $a_1 \neq 0$, and $g(\pi^*(f)) = \pi^*(f)$ implies $g(a_1) = a_i$ with some i.

Lemma 2.8. *If $g \neq e$, then $g(a_1) \neq a_1$.*

Proof. Otherwise, one can indicate the orbit O_{a_1} as $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$ with some $m < |G| = d$. Then $a_1 \cdots \cdots a_m$ lies in $\Gamma(mK_{\tilde{X}})^G = \Gamma(mK_X)$, but we know that $\Gamma(mK_X) = 0$ for $m < d$. This contradicts to the fact that $\det(f) \neq 0$. □

Lemma 2.9. $\prod_{g\in G}(\pi^*f - g(a_1)) = 0$ *in* $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*E(dK_X)))$.

Proof. This homomorphism f^G lies in $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi^*E, \pi^*E(dK_X))^G = \mathrm{Hom}(E, E(dK_X))$. If $f^G \neq 0$, then f^G should be injective since E is stable. However, f^G is not injective obviously. □

For $g \in G$, denote $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi^*f - g(a_1)) \subset \pi^*E$ by F_g.

Lemma 2.10. *The natural map $\oplus_{g\in G} F_g \to \pi^*E$ is isomorphic.*

Proof. Let us consider the map

$$\prod_{g\neq e}(\pi^*f - g(a_1)) : F_e \hookrightarrow \pi^*E \to F'_e := \mathrm{Im} \prod_{g\neq e}(\pi^*f - g(a_1)). \tag{3}$$

If $\alpha \in F_e$ belongs to the kernel of this map, then $0 = \prod_{g\neq e}(\pi^*f - g(a_1))(\alpha) = \prod_{g\neq e}(a_1 - g(a_1))(\alpha)$, and then Lemma 2.8 and $K_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ deduces that $\alpha = 0$. Thus the map (3) is injective. Next, the map $f^{-(d-1)} : \pi^*E((d-1)K_X) \to \pi^*E$ induces the map $f^{-(d-1)} : F'_e \to F_e$ by Lemma 2.9. One can check that $f^{-(d-1)} \circ \prod_{g\neq e}(\pi^*f - g(a_1)) : F_e \to F_e$ is the multiple map by a non-zero constant, and so is isomorphic by Lemma 2.8. Thereby, the map (3) is bijective, and hence we have that $\pi^*E = F_e \oplus (\pi^*E/F_e)$. Repeating this, we can show this lemma. □

Since $F_g \neq 0$, $P(F_g(n)) = P(\pi^*E(n))$ by Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.10. The homomorphism $\pi^*f - g(a_1)$ induces exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow F_g \xrightarrow{i_g} \pi^*E \xrightarrow{p_g} G_g \longrightarrow 0, \qquad \text{and} \tag{4}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow G_g \xrightarrow{j_g} \pi^*E(K_X) \xrightarrow{q_g} Q_g \longrightarrow 0. \tag{5}$$

Proposition 2.11. *One can find $h \in G$ with $h \neq e$ as follows: the dimension of the image of the natural map,*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(Q_e(-K_X), F_h) \xrightarrow{i_{h*}} \mathrm{Ext}^1(Q_e(-K_X), \pi^*E) \xrightarrow{q_e^*} \mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi^*E, \pi^*E), \tag{6}$$

which comes from the exact sequences (4) *and* (5)*, is $-\frac{1}{d}\chi(E, E)$ or more.*

We shall prove this proposition later; we presume it proved for now. From now on, suppose that the hypothesis in Theorem 1.1 holds, which implies that $-\chi(E,E)/d - d^2 + 1 \geq 3$. Hence there is a nonzero element $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi^*E, \pi^*E)$ lying in the image of the map (6). For any $h \in G$, we have a following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{Ext}^1(Q_e(-K_X), F_h) & \xrightarrow[i_{h*}]{} & \mathrm{Ext}^1(Q_e(-K_X), \pi^*E) & & \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle q_e^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q_e^*} & & \\
\mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi^*E, F_h) & \xrightarrow[i_{h*}]{} & \mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi^*E, \pi^*E) & \xrightarrow[p_{h*}]{} & \mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi^*E, G_h) \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j_e^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j_{h*}} \\
 & & \mathrm{Ext}^1(G_e(-K_X), \pi^*E) & & \mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi^*E, \pi^*E(K_X)) \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_e^*} & & \\
 & & \mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi^*E(-K_X), \pi^*E), & &
\end{array}
\tag{7}
$$

and it holds that $p_e^* \circ j_e^* = (\pi^*f - a_1)^*$ and $j_{h*} \circ p_{h*} = (\pi^*f - h(a_1))_*$. Thus $(\pi^*f - a_1)^*(\alpha) = 0$ and $(\pi^*f - h(a_1))_*(\alpha) = 0$. From the definition of pull-back and push-forward, it implies that

$$
\alpha \circ \pi^*f = (\pi^*f)^*(\alpha) = a_1\alpha \qquad \text{and} \quad \pi^*f \circ \alpha = (\pi^*f)_*(\alpha) = h(a_1)\alpha \tag{8}
$$

in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi^*E, \pi^*E(K_X))$. Here we denote $\sum_{g\in G} g(\alpha) \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi^*(E), \pi^*(E))$ by α^G. If $\alpha^G = 0$, then $-\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}(\pi^*f - a_1)$ and $\sum_{g\neq e} g(\alpha)$ should belong to $\mathrm{Ker}(\prod_{g\neq e}(\pi^*f - g(a_1)))$, but $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi^*f - a_1) \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\prod_{g\neq e}(\pi^*f - g(a_1))) = 0$ by Lemma 2.8. Thus $\alpha^G \neq 0$. From Lemma 2.7, α^G descends to a nonzero element $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(E,E)$. Since f is isomorphic, by the Serre duality we have some $\beta \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(E,E)$ such that

$$
\mathrm{tr}(f \circ \bar{\alpha} \circ \beta) \neq 0. \tag{9}
$$

Lemma 2.12. *If β satisfies* (9)*, then* $\mathrm{tr}(f \circ \bar{\alpha} \circ \beta + f \circ \beta \circ \bar{\alpha}) \neq 0$.

Proof. From the definition of $\bar{\alpha}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{tr}(\pi^*(f \circ \bar{\alpha} \circ \beta)) &= \mathrm{tr}(\pi^*f \circ \pi^*\bar{\alpha} \circ \pi^*\beta) \\
&= \sum_{g\in G} \mathrm{tr}(\pi^*f \circ g(\alpha) \circ \pi^*\beta) = \sum_g \mathrm{tr}(gh(a_1) \cdot g(\alpha) \circ \pi^*(\beta)),
\end{aligned}
$$

where one get the last equation by the action of g on the second at (8). From a basic property of trace [6, p. 257], it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\mathrm{tr}(\pi^*(f \circ \beta \circ \bar{\alpha})) &= \mathrm{tr}(\pi^*(\bar{\alpha} \circ f \circ \beta)) \\
&= \sum_g \mathrm{tr}(g(\alpha) \circ \pi^*f \circ \pi^*\beta) = \sum_g \mathrm{tr}(g(a_1) \cdot g(\alpha) \circ \pi^*(\beta)),
\end{aligned}
$$

where one get the last equation by the action of g on the first at (8). Since $a_1 \in \Gamma(\pi^*K_X) = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$ is nowhere vanishing, we can set $\lambda = h(a_1)/a_1 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \simeq \mathbb{C} \simeq \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})^G$, and thus $gh(a_1)/g(a_1) = g(h(a_1)/a_1) = h(a_1)/a_1 = \lambda$ for any $g \in G$. Thereby $\mathrm{tr}(\pi^*(f \circ \bar{\alpha} \circ \beta)) = -\lambda\,\mathrm{tr}(\pi^*(f \circ \beta \circ \bar{\alpha}))$, and then

$$
\pi^*\,\mathrm{tr}(f \circ \bar{\alpha} \circ \beta + f \circ \beta \circ \bar{\alpha}) = (1 - \frac{1}{\lambda})\pi^*\,\mathrm{tr}(f \circ \bar{\alpha} \circ \beta) \neq 0,
$$

since $\lambda \neq 0, 1$ by Lemma 2.8. □

Summing up, we have found $\bar{\alpha}$ and β in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E,E)$ such that $F_f(\bar{\alpha}, \beta) \neq 0$ for F_f at (1) if $-\frac{1}{d}\chi(E,E) > 0$ by Proposition 2.11, and consequently we can find $t_1 \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(E,E)$ such that $F_f(t_1, t_1) = 1$. Then, replacing the argument above from $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E,E)$ to $\mathrm{Ker}\, F_f(t_1, \cdot)$, we can find t_2 such that $F_f(t_1, t_2) = 0$ and $F_f(t_2, t_2) = 1$, when $-\frac{1}{d}\chi(E,E) \geq 2$.

Lemma 2.13. *(a) If E belongs to $\mathrm{Sing}(M(H))$ at Lemma 2.5, then $E \simeq \pi_*(F_g)$ for all $g \in G$.*
(b) For any $g \in G$, F_g is π^*H-stable.
(c) When $-\frac{1}{d}\chi(E,E) \geq 3$, the codimension of $\mathrm{Sing}(M(H))$ in $M(H)$ is greater than 1.

Proof. Since $\oplus_{g\in G} F_g \simeq \pi^*E$, we have an injective map $E \to \pi_*(F_e)$. Applying the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem and projection formula to $\pi_*(F_e)$, we have $d\,\mathrm{ch}(E) \equiv \sum_g \mathrm{ch}(\pi_*(F_g))) = d\,\mathrm{ch}(\pi_*(F_e))$ in $\mathrm{CH}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, since $F_g = g^*(F_e)$ for all g. Thus the injective map $E \to \pi_*(F_e)$ is isomorphic. Clearly $\pi_*(F_g) = \pi_*(F_e)$, so we get (a). Next, F_e satisfies $P(F_e(n\pi^*H)) = P(\pi^*E(nH))$ by Lemma 2.6. If F_e is not π^*H-stable, then there is a proper subsheaf $F' \subset F_e$ such that $P(F'(n\pi^*H)) = P(\pi^*E(nH))$. Then $\oplus_{g\in G} g^*(F') \subset \pi^*(E)$ descends to a proper subsheaf of E, which becomes a H-destabilizer of E. This is contradiction and deduces (b). Next, as to (c), we can assume $d \geq 2$, for if $d = 1$ then K_X is trivial and then $M(H)$ is nonsingular by [13]. From (a), the moduli number of $\mathrm{Sing}(M(H))$ is not greater than $\mathrm{ext}^1_{\tilde{X}}(F_e, F_e) = -\chi(F_e, F_e) + 2$, which is not greater than $-\chi(E,E)/d + 2$ from equations (10) and (12) below. Thereby when $-\frac{1}{d}\chi(E,E) \geq 3$ we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim M(H) - \dim \mathrm{Sing}(M(H)) &\geq \mathrm{ext}^1(E,E) - \mathrm{ext}^2(E,E) - \mathrm{ext}^1(F_e,F_e)\\ &\geq -\chi(E,E) + 1 - 2 + \frac{1}{d}\chi(E,E) = \frac{1-d}{d}\chi(E,E) - 1 \geq 3(d-1) - 1 \geq 2\end{aligned}$$

and this leads to (c). □

In such a way, we can describe $F_f^\vee$ at (2) as $F^\vee = t_1^2 + \cdots + t_N^2$ with $N \geq 3$ when $-\frac{1}{d}\chi(E,E) \geq 3$. Therefore Theorem 1.1 follows from Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.13 and Proposition 2.11. We know that some positive multiple of the canonical class of $M(H)$ equals $\mathcal{O}_{M(H)}$ by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch's theorem, so we also have Corollary 1.2.

Proof of Proposition 2.11: From Lemma 2.10,

$$|G|\chi(E,E) = \chi(\pi^*E, \pi^*E) = \sum_{g\in G}\sum_{g'\in G} \chi(F_{g'}, F_g) = |G| \sum_{g\in G} \chi(F_e, F_g), \tag{10}$$

and so $\chi(E,E) = \sum_g \chi(F_e, F_g)$. From the Riemann-Roch theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(F_e,F_g) = {}& (r(F_g)c_1(F_e)^2 + r(F_e)c_1(F_g)^2)/2\\ &- r(F_e)c_2(F_g) - r(F_g)c_2(F_e) - c_1(F_e)c_1(F_g) + r(F_e)r(F_g)\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}).\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Since $F_g = g^*(F_e)$, it holds that $r(F_e) = r(F_g)$, $c_1(F_e)^2 = c_1(F_g)^2$, and $c_2(F_e) = c_2(F_g)$. By the Hodge index theorem $(c_1(F_e) - c_1(F_g))^2 = c_1(F_e)^2 + c_1(F_g)^2 - 2c_1(F_e)c_1(F_g) = 2(c_1(F_e)^2 - c_1(F_e)c_1(F_g)) \leq 0$, and hence (11) says that

$$\chi(F_e, F_e) - \chi(F_e, F_g) = -c_1(F_e)^2 + c_1(F_e)c_1(F_g) \geq 0. \tag{12}$$

Then some $h \neq e$ satisfies that $\chi(F_e, F_h) \leq \chi(E, E)/d$; otherwise, all g satisfy that $\chi(F_e, F_g) > \chi(E, E)/d$, which contradicts to the fact that $\chi(E, E) = \sum_g \chi(F_e, F_g)$. For such $h \neq e$, $\operatorname{ext}^1(F_e, F_h) \geq -\frac{1}{d}\chi(E, E)$. As to the homomorphism (6), remark that $Q_e = F_e(K_X)$ and that exact sequences (4) and (5) split since $\pi^*(K_X)$ is trivial. Therefore the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Ext}^1(Q_e(-K_X), F_h) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(\pi^*E, \pi^*E)) \\ \geq \operatorname{ext}^1(Q_e(-K_X), F_h) = \operatorname{ext}^1(F_e, F_h) \geq -\frac{1}{d}\chi(E, E).\end{aligned}$$

References

1. P. Barth, K. Hulek, C. Peters, and A. Van de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, vol. 4, Springer-Verlag, 2004.
2. F. A. Bogomolov, *The decomposition of Kähler manifolds with a trivial canonical class*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **93(135)** (1974), 573–575, 630.
3. R. Friedman, *Algebraic surfaces and holomorphic vector bundles*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
4. D. Gieseker, *On the moduli of vector bundles on an algebraic surface*, Ann. of Math. (2) **106** (1977), no. 1, 45–60.
5. W. Goldman and J. Millson, *Deformations of flat bundles over Kähler manifolds*, Geometry and topology (Athens, Ga., 1985), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 105, pp. 129–145.
6. D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, 1997.
7. S. Ishii, *The canonical modifications by weighted blow-ups*, J. Algebraic Geom. **5** (1996), no. 4, 783–799.
8. ———, *Introduction to singularities*, Springer-Verlag Tokyo, 1997, in Japanese.
9. H. Kim, *Moduli spaces of stable vector bundles on Enriques surfaces*, Nagoya Math. J. **150** (1998), 85–94.
10. J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
11. A. Laudal, *Matric massey products and formal moduli i.*, Algebra, Algebraic Topology and their interactions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1183, Springer Verlag, 1986, pp. 218–240.
12. M. Maruyama, *Moduli of stable sheaves. II*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **18** (1978), no. 3, 557–614.
13. S. Mukai, *Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or $K3$ surface*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), no. 1, 101–116.
14. K. Oguiso and S. Schroeer, *Enriques manifolds*, 2010, arXiv:1001.4912, To appear in J. reine Angew. Math.
15. M. Reid, *Young person's guide to canonical singularities*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., pp. 345–414.
16. K. Watanabe and T. Higuchi, *On a certain class of purely elliptic singularities in dimensions > 2*, Sci. Rep. Yokohama Nat. Univ. Sect. I (1983), no. 30, 31–35.
17. K. Yoshioka, *Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces*, Math. Ann. **321** (2001), 817–884.

E-mail address: yamada@xmath.ous.ac.jp

Department of applied mathematics, Faculty of Science, Okayama University of Science, Japan